

United States Department of Agriculture

Food Safety and Inspection Service January 16, 2023

Digitally signed copy emailed

Office of Field Operations Specific District

Office

55555

First Last, Establishment Administrator Pretend Meats, Inc. Est. M000

P.O. Box 000

Nowhere, NoState 00000

Unnamed Federal Building 555 No Street Room 000 Nowhere, ST

NOTICE OF SUSPENSION

Dear Mr. Last:

Voice 555.555.5555

This letter confirms verbal notification by the Specific District Office, Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) on January 16, 2023, of the decision to suspend the assignment of slaughter Inspection Program Personnel (IPP) at your firm, Pretend Meats, Inc., M000, located at 12345 NoStreet, Nowhere, NoState 00000, herein referred to as the/your establishment. This action is taken in accordance with the Rules of Practice regulation 9 Part Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 500.3(b), which indicates that FSIS may take a withholding action or impose a suspension without providing an establishment prior notification if it is handling or slaughtering animals inhumanely. FSIS is taking action due to an egregious inhumane handling incident that occurred at your establishment on January 16, 2023.

Background

The Federal Meat Inspection Act (FMIA) (Title 21 of the United States Code {21 U.S.C.} 603 et seq) provides that for the purpose of preventing the inhumane slaughtering of livestock, the secretary shall cause to be made, by inspectors appointed for that purpose, an examination and inspection of the methods by which amenable species cattle, sheep, swine, goats, horses, mules, or other equines are slaughtered and handled in connection with slaughter in the slaughtering establishments inspected under this Act. The Secretary may refuse to provide inspection to a new slaughtering establishment or may cause inspection to be temporarily suspended at a slaughtering establishment if the Secretary finds that any cattle, sheep, swine, goats, horses, mules, or other equines have been slaughtered or handled in connection with slaughter at such establishment by any method not in accordance with the Humane Methods of Slaughter Act (HMSA) (72 Statute, 862; Title 7 U.S.C. 1901 to 1906) until the establishment furnishes assurances satisfactory to the Secretary that all slaughtering and handling in connection with slaughter of livestock shall be in accordance with such methods.

Under the authorities of the Acts, FSIS has prescribed rules and regulations for the humane slaughtering of livestock, as contained in regulations 9 CFR Part 313, and the HMSA of 1978. FSIS has also developed Rules of Practice regarding enforcement prescribed in regulations 9 CFR Part 500. The Rules of Practice describe the types of enforcement actions that FSIS may take and include procedures for taking a withholding action and/or suspension, with or without prior notification, as well as the procedures for filing a complaint to withdraw a Grant of Federal Inspection.

Findings/Basis for Action

While conducting official breaks to the inspectors, Inspection Program Personnel (IPP) noticed a single hog walking around the kill floor. Regulatory control action was established verbally, stopping production. Upon inspection, the animal had a noticeable injury on the forehead that was obviously caused by a penetrating bolt stun attempt. The conscious and mobile animal was identified approximately 20 yards from the restraining area. IPP notified kill floor manager Unlisted and owner First Last of the observations. Establishment employees worked to corral and capture the animal. Approximately 10 min elapsed from the time the animal was observed until its capture in an adjacent room. When the hog was caught, a stun was attempted with a hand-held captive bolt (HHCB) stunner. Following this stun attempt, the animal collapsed but remained conscious. The animal had tracking eye movement to sounds and nearby movement and was blinking in response to stimuli. An additional stun attempt was made with a HHCB stunner. The animal remained conscious. The animal continued to have coordinated tracking eye movement to sounds and movement in the area and was blinking in response to stimuli. The establishment HHCB operator did not have additional cartridges, and after a brief delay, was able to retrieve more. A third stun attempt was applied (since regulatory control action was taken) with the HHCB, which successfully rendered the animal insensible. US Rejected tags NO. B-32055001, -5002 were placed across the alleys to the restrainer. First Last, owner of Est. 363 was notified that the incident would be documented on a noncompliance report, and that the district office would be contacted through supervisory channels for further guidance.

This is a violation of the FMIA (21 U.S.C.) 603, regulations 9CFR Part 313.15(a)(1) and the HMSA of 1978.

Conclusion

The observations detailed above indicate an egregious violation of the humane handling requirements specified within the provisions of 21 U.S.C. 603, Section 3(b) of the FMIA, and Title 7 U.S.C. 1901 and 1902 of the HMSA of 1978 and the regulatory requirements outlined in 9 CFR Part 313.15(a)(1).

The establishment has a humane handling program that meets the four criteria as described in the Federal Register Notice of September 9, 2004. Based on the above findings, the Specific District Office has determined to suspend the assignment of inspectors for your slaughter operations, as per the Rules of Practice regulation 9 CFR Part 500.3(b).

The suspension of the assignment of inspectors will remain in effect until such time as you provide to this office adequate written corrective actions and preventive measures to assure that the handling and/or slaughter of animals will be done humanely and in accordance with the FMIA, HMSA, and the regulations promulgated therein.

You may provide this office written corrective actions and preventive measures concerning the NOS and we will determine further action, if any, based upon your response. If you choose to address this issue, your written response should include:

- 1. An initial assessment of the incident, including determination of the cause.
- 2. Immediate corrective actions taken.

- 3. Preventive measures to prevent reoccurrence.
- 4. Any training of employees and materials used.
- 5. Type of monitoring activity for stunning effectiveness, along with a documentation record.

You are reminded that as an operator of a federally inspected establishment, you are expected to comply with FSIS regulations, regarding the humane handling and slaughter of livestock. Please be advised that you have the right to appeal this matter. If you wish to appeal, you should contact:

Attention: No Body
Acting Executive Associate for Regulatory Operations
Office of Field Operations
Food Safety and Inspection Service
United States Department of Agriculture
1400 Independence Avenue, SW
South Building, Room 00000
Washington, DC 20250
Office number: 555-555-5555
pretendemail@usda.gov

In addition, you may also request a hearing regarding this determination pursuant to FSIS Rules of Practice, regulation 9 CFR Part 500. The Rules of Practice were published in the Federal Register, Vol. 64, No. 228, on November 29, 1999. As specified in Section 500.5(d), should you request a hearing, FSIS will file a complaint that will include a request for an expedited hearing.

If you wish to request a hearing regarding this determination, you should contact:

Attention: Not Listed, Director
Enforcement Operations Staff
Office of Investigation, Enforcement and Audit
Food Safety and Inspection Service
United States Department of Agriculture
Stop Code 000, Cubicle 0000
1400 Independence Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20250

Phone number: 555-555-5555 E-mail: notane-mail@usda.gov

We urge your cooperation and voluntary compliance. If you have questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact the Specific District Office at 555-555-5555 or Dr. Awesome Vet, DVMS, at 555-555-5555.

Sincerely,

District Signature

District Manager, DVM

District Manager USDA FSIS OFO Specific District